

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
CITY HALL AUDITORIUM
February 29, 2024

Minutes of the City Planning Commission meeting held February 29, 2024, in the City Council Auditorium, City Hall, 212 SW 9th Street, Lawton, Oklahoma.

The agenda for the meeting was posted on the bulletin board in City Hall in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act.

The meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m. by David Denham

ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT

David Denham
Melissa Busse
Ron Jarvis
Joan Jester
Deborah Jones
Darren Medders
Michael Logan
Neil Springborn

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Allan Smith (excused)

ALSO PRESENT:

Christina Ryans-Huffer, Recording Secretary
Charlotte Brown, Director Community Services/Planning
Kameron Good, Senior Planner
Christine James, Interim Planning Director
Madison Aust, Community Services/Planning Admin Assistant III
Gregory Gibson, Assistant City Attorney
Dewayne Burk, Assistant City Manager
Chris Boyd, CBDL Inc
Richard Rogalski
Laura Yeager
Gary
Terry Nieves
Garl Fountain
Tamara Passuet
Doug Brown
Mark Gibson
Timothy Stephens
Leon Reynolds
Linda Chapman
LeAnn Enderle
Kirsten Sellens
Jacquelyn Thomas

The meeting has established a quorum and was posted according to the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act, 25 O.S. 301-314.

NEW BUSINESS

2. Consider approving the minutes from February 15, 2023, regular scheduled meeting

Note there is a scrivener's error the agenda should read February 15, 2024. All attached documents are the February 15, 2024 documents.

Motion by Jarvis. Second by Medders to approve the minutes from February 15, 2024, regular scheduled meeting as written **Aye:** Busse, Jarvis, Jester, Jones, Medders, Logan, Springborn, Denham **Nay:** None. **Motion Passed**

Denham stated we are going at this time to move Item# 6 on the Agenda to Item #3 just move it up to now.

6. Hold a public hearing and consider an ordinance for a 32-acre tract of property located west of Northwest Goodyear Boulevard, east of 112th Street, south of Northwest Cache Road, and north of Southwest Lee Boulevard for a change of zoning from the Temporary A-1 General Agricultural District to I-4 Heavy Industrial District zoning Classification and take appropriate action as deemed necessary

3. Consider approving revisions to the City Planning Commission's Rules and Regulations in an effort to more closely reflect the established "Council Rules of Procedures" as listed in Council Policy 1-6, allowing for floor amendments and taking appropriate action as deemed necessary

4. Hold a public hearing and consider an ordinance and resolution request for the rezoning of the property located at 1201 SW C Ave, Lawton, OK 73501 amend the 2030 Land Use Plan from Residential/ High Density to Commercial, and to change the zoning from the R-4 High-Density Apartment District to C-1 Local Commercial District zoning classification and take appropriate action as deemed necessary

Good Stated good afternoon. Kameron Good with the Planning Division. This is been brought to you before on January 11th. This is being brought back to you because of a Notice error. The wrong City Ordinance pertaining to which type of public hearing to be administered was listed in the notice and so that has been corrected and re-noticed and that's why this item is being brought back to you. Just to run through it real quick this is located at 12th and C on the northwest corner, Lot 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24. The current land is vacant. The proposed use is a daycare. As you can

see on the site plan, both curb cuts would be off of 12th Street. The existing zoning is R-4 with R-4 to the North, West and South and C-5 to the East. The proposed zoning is C-1 Commercial. The existing Land Use map is Residential High Density, with the same to the North, West and South and the existing to the East is Commercial. The proposed is switching it to Commercial. This is also a Use Permit on Review within the R-3 and R-4 District but there is a stipulation that the building must match the architecture around it. That is why they have requested C-1 zoning instead of doing the Use Permit on Review. This was noticed to 24 property owners within 300 feet on February 7th and posted in The Lawton Constitution on February 9th with no phone calls or letters received for or against it.

Denham stated thank you Kameron. Anybody have questions for Kameron before I open the public hearing?

Jones stated if you look at that, it looks like spot zoning. I mean yes across the street you have C-5 but I'm a little curious why they couldn't build something that surrounds that area. You know it's mostly bungalows with wood and there's a variety but there is a number of materials they could use.

Denham asked is the applicant here?

Good stated I don't believe so.

Jones asked did he express to you any reservations about building materials or architecture?

Good stated I think the intent is to go with a metal building with the exterior finish being approved material by you know, City Code. So, not going with the wood siding or that some of the houses have around there.

Jones stated okay, thank you.

Denham asked any other questions? Alright at this time we'll go ahead and declare the public hearing open anybody would like to come speak for or against this item please approach the podium and give us your name. Seeing no one approach I'll close the public hearing. Members of the Commission what's your preference here? Any further discussion?

Motion by Jarvis, Second by Medders to recommend approval to the City Council for an amendment to the 2030 Land Use Plan from Residential/High Density to Commercial, and a change of zoning from the R-4 High-Density Apartment District to C-1 Local Commercial District zoning classification for the property located at 1201 SW C Avenue, Lawton, OK 73501
Aye: Medders, Logan, Springborn, Denham, Busse, Jarvis, Jester **Nay:** Jones. **Motion Passed.**

5. Hold a public hearing to consider a request for a Use Permitted on Review to allow a dormitory-style shelter for homeless youth located in a Public Facility (P-F) district. The request is located at 714 SW 45th Street, Lawton, OK 73505 and take appropriate action as deemed necessary

Good stated good afternoon this item has also been brought to you before to you on January 11, 2024. The same mistake was made in the Notice with the Section of Code that was referenced to the wrong City Ordinance pertaining to which type of public hearing was being administered so that was corrected and re-noticed. To run through this one real quick. This is located off of 45th Street North of Lee Boulevard at 714 SW 45th Street. The applicant has submitted for a Use Permit on Review to do a dormitory-style shelter for homeless and school aged children to be located within the existing gymnasium. Here's the arial for that area, you can see that the existing structure on the north portion of it with the existing gymnasium on the south portion of the buildings. The site plan as shown here is to re-model the existing building and add an additional parking lot on the southside with one point of entry onto 45th. The current zoning is P-F with P-F to the North, R-1 to the West and South and R-4 to the East. This is a kind of rendering of what the building is supposed to look like and then with also a master plan for future plans for MIGHT. This was noticed to 51 property owners within 300 feet of the requested area on February 6th and posted in The Lawton Constitution on February 9th. The Planning Division did receive a phone call in favor of the Use Permit on Review and a petition has been filed against the Use Permit on Review. That petition was included in your, a map of that petition was included in your packet, as you can see on the map it depicts how many are within 300 feet of the requested area. It does not, there is no threshold on a Use Permit on Review as far as how many there would need to be filed to make a Super-Majority. That is not an option on a Use Permit on Review, it's only an option on Re-zonings but this petition still was presented to the City Clerk's Office and is being presented to you guys.

Denham asked is it the same petition?

Good stated it's the same petition that was presented at the last meeting, correct.

Denham asked what's the difference between the advertisements on these public hearings that have caused this?

Good responded because it was referencing the re-zoning section of Code at the top of the newspaper notice. It reference a section of City Code that talks about who needs to be noticed, how many people need to be noticed, and stuff like that. It was referencing the Re-zoning one, which is 114 and the Use Permit on Review is 113.

Denham stated okay.

Good stated with that being said this was recommended for approval with conditions last time. The conditions was to add a 8 (eight) foot fence around the property and to verify that the existing building met all the parking requirements. Staff did look into that and the existing facility does meet all the parking requirements and then the applicant would like to speak on the fence itself.

Denham asked and this Fire Marshall background that looks to be new as well?

Good stated yes the Fire Marshalls and Public Utilities have reviewed the request. Public Utilities made a comment that the new parking lot shall meet City Code of Lawton City Standards and that a sidewalk with crossing per ADA and City requirements for the new portion

of that on the site plan. The existing one does not have side walks or ADA ramps aren't shown on the site plan. So that was when this was sent out to everyone for their review, the site plan that was Public Utilities that was their comments and the Fire Marshall's comments.

Brown stated David I would also like to say that the other recommendations from DJ was that we get with Councilwoman Chapman on the speed bumps and we did pass it along and she is working with Engineering to get one installed out there.

Denham stated excellent. Very good, thank you.

Good stated and the applicants are here.

Denham stated alright. Any other questions of Kameron?

Brown stated I was told the speed bump has already been installed.

Denham stated very good, very good. Thank you Councilperson Chapman, good job. Okay go ahead and declare the public hearing open. Anybody that would like to speak for or against this item please approach the microphone and give us your name and address and I'll need you to sign in too please.

Reynolds stated good afternoon.

Denham stated welcome back Sir.

Reynolds stated my name is Leon Reynolds, I am at 734 SW 45th Street. I have a few questions. First I'd like to see to make sure how everything is supposed to play out. So, on the way I think, this facility is supposed to get a Government Grant which ups the property value, which then us that lives there, our property tax goes up.

Denham stated if your property value goes up.

Reynolds asked so would it go up if they get a half a million dollars invested?

Denham responded no that doesn't have anything to do with, your property is not getting a half a million dollars.

Reynolds asked but the property value in the area doesn't get affected at all? With me living right next door? When you look at it, I'm literally right next door.

Denham stated it really don't affect your property per say but that's the Assessor's job, not ours.

Reynolds asked next question, you see how when we were just speaking on the property on C Avenue, they had a picture of how it will be re-zoned, we haven't seen what the re-zoning would look like yet?

Denham stated it is not a re-zoning.

Reynolds asked I thought this was a re-zoning?

Denham responded it is a Use Permit on Review request, so, that's why we're here again because it's just saying will we approve this use for this facility. So, it's still Public Facility zoning so that does not change.

Reynolds asked so the zoning now doesn't change?

Denham stated correct.

Reynolds asked so then speaking on the speed bump, when we were discussing the speed bump we were speaking on the people coming into the neighborhood going to this facility, right? So, you put the speed bump right there where they have to stop anyway. How does that make sense?

Denham responded I don't know where the speed bump is.

Reynolds asked can you go back to another picture, go back to the next one. So, you have them coming around the curve or they're coming off of 45th Street.

Denham stated so you're heading north.

Reynolds stated so you're coming around this curve to get here or you're coming down 45th Street to get here, the speed bump is right here, where they have to stop anyway. So, these people here where they're speeding here and they're speeding around this curve, the speed bump doesn't really help where you're going to stop anyway. Do you understand what I am saying?

Denham asked what makes them stop there? Is there a stop sign?

Reynolds stated no, there is no stop sign there.

Denham stated right.

Reynolds stated these are the people coming to pick their children up from the daycare center, right? The speed bump is right here. They're speeding coming down this street around this curve, then they're coming down 45th from like the Vo-Tech speeding this way. The speed bumps should have been placed on the curve or before you get to the church. Not where you have to stop anyway. Is that understood?

Denham stated I personally would want a speed bump on the straight away not the curve, or the turn but I don't have anything to do with that.

Reynolds stated not where you have to stop at anyway.

Denham stated only the people that are turning are going to stop there. So, the only people speeding are people that are going into the church?

Reynolds stated not the only people but the daycare is. If you come, like they said about the refinery, if you come at 7 or 8 o'clock in the morning, watch out you might get hit. They're trying to drop their kids off because they're running late. If you come 5 o'clock or 4 o'clock in the evening you got to get your kids out of the street because they're speeding past, remember I told you I put the cones out and the Police told me to move the cones. Because they're speeding past. And then at the last meeting they openly said they were going to get with the public to try

like he said public relations, no one has spoken to anybody in the public as of yet. Then on yesterday certain people, not me, that live right next door because I openly opposed them, certain people got these letters of how they want to start a Neighborhood Watch to make it look like they're doing something for the community. I can show you where we already have a neighborhood watch. Now we have, it says on this letter they're going to have City Councilman Linda Chapman, as well as Sgt. Matt Dunne and they want to set people up how to do a Community Watch. That has already been established, at least 7 (seven) years I've been there. Why not get with first the Community that already do this. We just had an incident about a week ago, someone was going through peoples back yard, he had on a gray hoodie on a silver bike. We go on a rode around the neighborhood, everybody locked their stuff up. This is already in play but now the Council person and the Police want to get involved because the money called them, not because they were worried about the community because.

Denham stated that's unfair speculation, Sir. So, okay let's stick here they were told to reach out. We have Councilperson Chapman right here so you have a few questions.

Reynolds asked Councilperson Chapman in this Community?

Denham stated no you can't talk to her, I'm just saying, what are your other concerns and she will come up and speak after you.

Reynolds stated one of my other concerns is like, we already have barbecues and cookouts. We already do things for the community. They have not been involved. I would like to know who is responsible for seeing because they claim they claim they are a church but they don't have a congregation, nobody comes to church there. Then is MIGHT under the church or is the church under the MIGHT or like who's responsible for making sure that this because Mr. Brown was speaking highly of them but Mr. Brown is ex-City Council person who already gets so many construction deals anyway. So, if Mr. Brown already have friends in the City Council and he's speaking to them I am wondering now who's getting kickbacks.

Denham stated now okay we're done. Go head thank you. Did you sign in?

Reynolds stated yes Sir.

Denham stated thank you Mr. Reynolds. Mrs. Chapman.

Chapman stated Linda Chapman, 803 NW 41st Street, Ward 3 City Council. MIGHT is included in my particular Ward. I was not at the last CPC meeting but I did understand there was lots of concern about traffic and speeding through that area. I have visited that area many times I have gone through MIGHT, several times and I'm so impressed with this whole facility. Getting back to the speeding, I talked with Larry Walcott and asked for some recommendations on where the Streets Department thought would be the best location for that speed table and he came up with a couple of them but I said, in fact I think he came up with 3 (three) and I said which do you think is the very best and he recommended where the speed table is where it should be right now. Second issue with the gentleman before me as far as I know as the 4 (four) years I've been on City Council; we have not had a Neighborhood Watch in that particular area. No one has contacted me and I very much in favor of the Neighborhood Watch meetings and as a result, I

met with Bishop Dunnaway, Bernita Taylor, Sgt Demit and we got together thinking how can we bring people together in this neighborhood to help facilitate what was going on there. So, yes we are having our first organizational Neighborhood Watch this coming Tuesday to get the community together for a variety of reasons what a Neighborhood Watch will do. So, I wanted to let you all know those two things.

Denham stated well thank you very much and getting speed tables into a location in a month and a half is almost unheard of, so good job and we need to get the Neighborhood Watches are coordinated with Neighborhood Watches. You can't have a Neighborhood Watch without Police involvement. Correct?

Chapman stated correct, plus there is that title misinformation so much out there just like the different questions the gentleman was asking. We can resolve some of those with an organizational Neighborhood Watch.

Denham stated thank you.

Chapman stated you're welcome.

Denham asked alright anybody else like to come and speak?

Enderle stated my name is LeAnn Enderle I reside at 316 NW 32nd Street. I also own a house directly across the street from the driveway that goes into the, I still call it a school, a school that was bought and it is now a church and know I hear that they never have church services there, it's only a daycare center and it's MIGHT and yeah it's all very, very, very confusing. I've been to all these meetings and I did hear on Neighbor that they are going to have a meeting, I thought it was going to be Monday not Tuesday but I'll have to look at my calendar and I was kind of confused about that too because I was told that we already have a Neighborhood Watch there and that's why got together and we did the petition that we did that we submitted back in January and then you guys didn't even have it and then it got put on and so forth. So, it sounds like the left don't know what the rights doing half the time so that's why I keep coming to these meetings because I feel like I need to be more informed and also more participation in what goes on in the City that I live in, retire in and probably die in. I have so many questions about this because we were told that it's going to be a 10 (ten) to (twelve) homeless boys from ages 13 (thirteen) to 17 (seventeen). Now those are all considered minors and so I'm sure everything is closed, that we can't find out if any of those kids have got any priors or you know if they've committed any crimes at all whether they be misdemeanors.

Denham stated I'll address that, at the last meeting Mrs. Taylor did say that there's a very heavy screening process on that.

Enderle stated she said that. She did say that. She did not say whether or not those children that would be there had previously committed any crimes.

Denham stated they're not going to put them there if that is the case because they're the ones that can screen. They can't put that public out there but there's thousands of homeless youth in this Community and we're talking 10 (ten) or (twelve). So I'm sure it's going to be screened very meticulously.

Enderle stated I also talked to several school teachers that teach in the Lawton School District and I says how do they know there's over a thousand homeless children in that age group and she says really simply by 2 (two) different teachers that if a kid comes to school and says he got into a fight with his parents and he's living on grandma's couch or he's living with a neighbor down the street living on their couch, they are they become homeless and again going back to what Mrs. Taylor said she was going to try to reunite them back into the system, if they wanted to get a job they would give them transportation to and from the job. They would try and reunite them with their parents so they could eventually go back and live with their parents and they would have all these.

Denham stated so you've answered your own question, okay.

Enderle stated no, that's the problem. I'm still saying this we're going to have so many more cars coming and going for all those meetings, all those appointments, all those reuniting, all those times they're taken to and from work it's like, yeah so we needed if you really want to go back to that Planning is around that corner coming down and also from Lee going up, that's where everybody is speeding. Not right in front of where that old school is, which is now the St. James Church. That's where everybody stops to either pickup or drop off their kids. So, putting a bump there is just totally a waste of money.

Denham stated that point has been addressed and Mrs. Chapman understands that. They are temporary anyway so they can be moved.

Enderle stated actually I was the one that notified Mrs. Chapman. She was the one that responded through an email and she apologized that you know wish that she could do something because you know you can only have 3 (three) and that really got me upset. Oh, we're only allotted 3 (three) per Ward. So, I was thrilled when I just found out today that she did push and try to get one in there but they didn't ask anybody in the neighborhood where would the best place, where does everybody speed at.

Denham stated the Lawton Transportation guy, but anyway that's been discussed and what's next?

Enderle asked okay so my question is can we as a neighborhood that are within 300 feet, that got this letter, can we before you table anything, before you approve anything, can we meet with this Mrs. Taylor and all those people this next week at and find out more information so that we can know whether or not we're for or against this? What little information that we have been told, we're putting our heels down and saying no, not only no but hell no. We don't want this. I have talked to another person just a week ago and they live in Oklahoma City and I was at one of these other meetings, not the Planning meeting, the Council meeting and she was from Oklahoma City and she said oh yeah you don't want one of those homeless things across the street from you. She says that I got one in Oklahoma City and she says my neighborhood has gone down hill ever since then and that was from somebody that has a homeless one in her neighborhood in Oklahoma City and so it's like all I hear is bad. I don't hear anything good and that's why I wish we could have some time to be able to hear more good and have it concrete not just Mrs. Taylor saying, you know, we're going to help these homeless children and it's for the

children and they always want to pull at the heart strings. I've got 9 (nine) children. I'm not against children. I love children but I don't want the more traffic in that neighborhood and problems with what comes with things like that.

Denham stated okay.

Enderle stated thank you.

Denham stated Mrs. Enderle we are a recommending body. We're going to make a recommendation and it will go forwarded to Council. So, I would encourage you and your group to get with Mrs. Taylor between now and the Council meeting. Is that been scheduled yet?

Brown stated yes. March 12th.

Denham stated March 12th.

Enderle stated the letter say March 12th.

Denham stated so I would encourage you to get with Mrs. Taylor and see if you can have that meeting before Council regardless of what happens here.

Enderle stated I was hoping she would have been here today.

Denham stated she's out of town. She wasn't aware of this either.

Brown stated you may be able to speak to this group right here. They're part of this development as well.

Denham stated thank you.

Boyd stated how you guys doing, Chris Boyd, 7501 NW Wycliffe Lane. I represent CDBL and I just wanted to talk about the 2 (two) recommendations we had when we came through the last time. The one Kameron already mentioned, the parking requirement, we meet that requirement already. The other was the 8 (eight) foot fence. The facility now from the west side in between the building and the gym, there is a fence and there's a fence from the northeast corner, actually the east side of the gym it goes around and encloses the playground area. That is an existing 6 (six) foot fence, which is the area, I believe, that we were asked to put an 8 (eight) foot fence up and so I would like you guys to consider allowing the 6 (six) foot fence to stay and not to have to replace it with an 8 (eight) foot fence. The price to remove that and re-install is about \$ 34,000 dollars. So, I just wanted to bring that up for your consideration.

Jones stated I'm sorry it is very difficult for me to read the site plan. Are you proposing also looks like remove, I'm not sure the word, around your parking lot to the south, be the southeast a fence?

Boyd responded no ma'am.

Jones stated well, Pastor Logan made this recommendation. Would you be amenable Michael to leaving the 6 (six) foot fence where it is, instead of the 8 (eight) but I would like the parking lot to be fenced.

Boyd asked you want us to put a fence around the parking lot and a gate entry to the parking lot?

Jones stated no, not a gate just a fence. There was a concern that the children might run across the street, wasn't it Pastor and I believe you raised the fence, and so it's just another physical barrier. I don't really care if it's 6 (six) foot or 8 (eight) foot but the neighborhood wanted it.

Boyd stated well there is 2 (two) egress doors, one on the southside, one on the northside. The one on the north will go into the fenced in area, which is really a back door, the one on the southside is the front door. So, if we put it behind a fence, I'm not sure where we would put a fence and you would have access to the parking lot.

Jones stated you see it is hard for me to read this. Can you fence this?

Boyd stated I can't see that.

Jones stated you can't see it either. Okay right there, leave that open, leave the driveway open, just put it that way the neighborhood concern is addressed. Everywhere you're active there's a fence.

Boyd stated you could but I (inaudible).

Jones stated that may help me immensely.

Boyd stated so I have given Ms. Jones a drawing that shows where the existing fence is highlighted. They asked me to come back.

Jones asked oh they asked you to step back?

Boyd stated to step back to the microphone.

Denham stated they, we can't hear yall's conversation. Public hearing.

Jones asked this is an existing parking lot?

Boyd stated correct.

Jones stated okay. This red line represents the fence that's there?

Boyd stated that's correct.

Jones asked you wish to reduce the height of the fence where?

Boyd responded I wish to not have to remove that fence to install an 8 (eight) foot fence, that's correct.

Jones stated yes. Okay is this 6 (six) foot all the way around?

Boyd stated that is correct.

Jones stated okay that clears him and I.

Boyd stated and the parking lot is right here. The front door is right down here on this corner and the back door is right back there.

Jones asked so, you're going to flip? The parking lot is right here.

Boyd stated well no, the parking lot for that facility only, is on the southside of that facility. That parking lot is not, that has nothing to do with what we're doing here we have to do an additional 8 (eight) parking spaces for that facility.

Jones asked for this?

Boyd stated yes ma'am. It's completely separate.

Jones asked then why can't we put 6 (six) foot there?

Boyd responded I mean we can, but it just I don't know, I don't see what you'd gain doing that.

Jones stated I understand your position.

Boyd stated yes ma'am.

Jones stated the motion we were trying to come up with is satisfy the neighborhood's concerns.

Boyd stated yes ma'am.

Jones stated plus let MIGHT do what it needed. Do you want to waive that? They're going to put a parking lot here.

Logan asked okay so public access?

Deputy City Manager Dewayne Burk made comments regarding meeting procedures.

Denham stated guys, we're.

Jones stated I'm trying to get a word in, what the heck is going on.

Denham stated but nobody else can see the map. Great point. Thank you.

Jones stated Darren we've already made these conditions.

Medders stated and I agree. I agree with you.

Jones stated and he wishes to.

Denham stated alright 2 (two) seconds. No, I'm teasing.

Reynolds stated we were under the impression at the last meeting because the fence that he is talking about goes around the building and the little playground. They own the rest of the field, which we thought was supposed to be fenced in. That's what we were under the impression, she was talking about because the rest like all of that field to the south, is still their property. So, I'm thinking they're going to fence the property not just right around the immediate building.

Denham stated no this was due to this specific use and this area of that property.

Reynolds stated so they don't have to fence it in.

Jones stated for the premises that are being used and I was called about this and the Pastor was called about this. We do not, we never intended acre after acre that was vacant to be fenced. We, the playground, the parking lot and the buildings were specifically mentioned. Now, my knowledge, you're asking us to amend that condition on the fencing to allow a 6 (six) foot fence.

Denham stated okay let's wait so we can deal with that on the, after the public hearing is closed.

Jones stated okay.

Denham asked Mr. Boyd were you done?

Boyd responded yes Sir.

Denham stated okay. Anybody else?

Sellens stated my name is Kirsten Sellens, I am the Secretary for MIGHT Executive Board. So, I'm not going to rehash but I do and did hear some of the comment regarding the speeding and the cars and I can tell you I have been one my youngest son who is 26 (twenty-six) attended Country Club. The traffic was big time back then and there's hardly anything, I have been currently there at 7:00 am, I've been there at noon, I've been there at 4:00, I've been there at 5:00. It's typical afternoon traffic. People coming home. People leaving to go to work. As far as addressing the community in that area or the neighborhood, if folks would come March 5th, they can talk with Mrs. Bernita. She said come on, they've left flyers with everybody, at everybody's home to let them know about this. Councilwoman will be there; the Sgt will be there. I think what we're all possibly losing sight of here is the fact that we're talking about homeless children. These are children who do not have a parent or parents, currently, whatever is the situation is. It's not their fault. We need to be a community. We need to be leaders to help these children so, that they can get out of the situation that they're in or possibly reunite. That's all I have to say, thank you.

Denham stated thank you Ms. Sellens.

Thomas stated my name is Jacquelyn Thomas. I live at 711 SW 49th Street out there in Country Club. This whole thing is really sad. Where's everybody that's supposed to be from the community for this thing that is supposed to be coming up, this little boys home. First of all, I didn't know about the boys home coming until recently. Whatever, not the boys home this little thing.

Denham stated homeless shelter.

Thomas stated homeless shelter, I'm sorry. I just can't get with the homeless shelter. We have kids that live in the neighborhood why aren't we doing something about them. Why are we having something for them? Building a shelter for them? Do something for the kids in the community before we reach out to everybody else, do something for what's in the community.

Denham stated these kids are in the community.

Thomas stated the homeless kids are not.

Denham stated they might not be in your neighborhood but hey're from this community.

Thomas stated well I'm talking about from our neighborhood. Do something for the kids in the neighborhood, do something for them. There's a lot of kids in that neighborhood that need help besides working for the outside. Work on the inside before you work on the outside. That's all I have to say.

Denham stated thank you Ms. Thomas. Anybody else? Alright I will go ahead and close the public hearing. Is there a motion?

Motion by Medders, Second by Logan to recommend approval to the City Council for a Use Permitted on Review to allow a dormitory-style shelter for homeless youth located in a Public Facility (P-F) District located at 714 SW 45th Street, Lawton, OK 73505 and amend the fence from 8 (eight) foot to 6 (six) foot existing (fence condition is not applicable) and install sidewalks as required by City Code. **Aye:** Logan, Springborn, Denham, Busse, Jarvis, Jester, Jones, Medders **Nay:** None. **Motion Passed.**